

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

I. Part of a Letter from Mr. Deverel Surgeon at Bristol; concerning a Case in Chirurgery, which is commonly mistaken for a Fracture of the Patella.

ICHARD BURT, a Gardiner, living with Mrs. Lloyd of Stoke near Bristol, was thrown from his Horse, and in the fall receiv'd such a hurt in one of his Knees as made him incapable of remounting: He felt somewhat crack in that Knee (as he express'd it) before it touch'd the ground. Upon examining the Part I found (as I then thought) the ends of the broken Bone drawn above four fingers distance from each other: But upon a stricter examination of the parts. I found the Patella (which was drawn upwards by the extensors of the Leg) retain'd its natural Figure, and that the hardness which was felt below was the end of the torn Ligament that ties it to the Tibia. The ends of the Ligament were brought as near as possible, and kept so about three Weeks without any very remarkable accident intervening. He then began to walk, which was a little too foon, causing thereby fome pains, and loosening the Cicatrix, which made it the longer before it was perfectly firm; however he walks without any perceptible lameness. I have met with two others in the same case, the one a Person of distinction, who has not met with the good Fortune of walking so well as she used, tho' not for want of all the care and circumspection imaginable; for it is hardly to be expected that one in ten, to whom this Accident happens, fliould ever go right, it being next to

an impossibility that the ends of the torn Ligament should be so exactly plac'd, and retain'd as not to lie one over the other.

Paree in the 22d Chapter of his 15th Book affirms, that he never saw one of those who have had this Bone broken, but that halted during the rest of their lives: I am very apt to believe what this excellent Author affirms about the halting, and am forry I must dissent from his notions of the case, and the cause of the Lameness. Hildanus in his Observationes Chirurgica. Cent. 5th. Observ. 88. P. m. 485. has given us a History of a transverse Fracture of this Bone, which. after all the Symptoms were remov'd, was cur'd. Sed claudicatio ac summa imbecillitas totius cruris secuta est. ita ut non nifi maxima cum difficultate ambulare possit. He afrerwards mentioning the cause of the Lameness makes a Quæry, if what Pareè (to whom this Observation is dedicated) says in his Exc. lib. de ossibus, lib. 4. cap. 2 can be the cause of the Lameness; his words are, Eth vero Opinionem hancce rationi consentaneam esse in dubium revocare minime conabor, unus tamen restat scrupulus, videlicet, an, fractà patellà, extuberantia calli talis esse possit, ut cavitatem hancce (qua inter femur & os tibiæ magna est) adeo adimpleat, ut motum actionemque genu impedire possit? Videmus enim ut plurimum in reliquis ossium fracturis, (nist contritio ossis & periostei fuerit maxima) naturam tam decenter & eleganter connectere offa, ut rard relinquatur vestigium fractura. Periostium enim, quam diu integrum est, materiam calli retinet, quo minus in extuberantiam excrescere possit, nisi, &c. Deinde in hoc nostro Ægro callum non fuisse causam claudicationis ex sequentibus apparebit: quapropter distinctione hie opus est. After this he goes on to show us how many ways this Bone may be fractur'd, but doth not observe that he ever saw it any other way broken, (unless in a Gunshot-wound) than transversly; if he had, I am persuaded, that this curious Observator would never have omitted it in his Observations.

Ruysch in his Centuria observationum Anatomico-Chirurgicarum 40. observatio 3, writes as follows. Patellam
propter duritiem suam haud frangi posse nonnulli perverse
asserunt. Nos vero eandem non solum à casu graviori
in genu frangi experti sumus, verum etiam sine casu; cujus rei Historiam recensere animus est. Ante tres
præterpropter annos, visitavi cum Magistro Petro Adriani silio, virum satis robustum, qui a ponte descendens in terram serè ceciderat; uno pede lubrico, resistens tamen quantum potuit, in Terram non suerat prolapsus; sed ab illa resistentia vehementissima transversim fracta ejus patella, &
adeo quidem evidenter, ut inter utrumque locari potuerit
manus, una snim supra altera infra genu sentiebatur.

This Observation is so like in all its circumstances to that which I related above, especially in that the Fracture was made without a fall, that I am very apt to believe it is the same; and do imagine he might be deceived by missaking the hard end of the Ligament for the broken Bone.

And when these sort of Accidents, which are now called Fractures of the Patella, come to be nicely examined; they will perhaps be found to be generally of the same Nature.